



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/550,686	04/17/2000	Julia Hirschberg	2000-0026	1854
7590 10/19/2004			EXAMINER	
Mr . S H DWORETSKY			EDOUARD, PATRICK NESTOR	
AT &T CORP ROOM 2A-207 ONE AT&T WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			2654	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/550,686	HIRSCHBERG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
·	Patrick N. Edouard	2654	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sation. 19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>23 July 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	oxtimes This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5,8-10 and 24 is/are pendidal 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-25 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5,8-10 and 24 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a			
Applicant may not request that any objectio	= · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do Certified copies of the priority do Some * Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the priority do Copies of t	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to communication filed 07/23/2004. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-10 and new claim 24 are pending. Claims 21-23 and 24 are withdrawn from consideration.

2. Newly submitted claims 21-23 and 25 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: these claims are directed to a method for identifying new speakers in a voice mail message for one or more subscribers classified in 704/246.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 21-23 and 25 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-10 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epstein et al (6,327,343).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claims 1 and 24, Epstein et al teach a method for indexing voice mail messages, comprising:

"Receiving one or more voice mail messages from one or more callers" (col. 6, lines 50-55);

"Processing speech signals from each of the voice mail message with one or more caller speaker models" (col. 7, lines 13-26);

"Determining the identity of each of the one or more callers in each of the one or more voice mail messages by comparing the speech signals form each of the voice mail messages with one or more caller speaker models" (col. 7, lines 22-26); and

"Tagging each of the voice mail messages with the respective identity of the caller for each respective voice mail message" (abstract, the system is able of tagging the identity of a caller, col. 5, lines 37-45, his identification tagger 30);

when the identify of the voice of the caller of a voice mail message cannot be determined; tagging that voice mail message as unknown" (col. 7, lines 55-61, if the identity of the caller ultimately cannot be identified, the user (voice mail subscriber) 12 may program the system trough the programming interface 38 to process the call based on the unknown caller; and the system may be programmed to store the name and originating telephone number of every caller at col. 8, lines 15-17.

It is noted that Epstein et al teach the claimed invention but does not explicitly teach receiving an identity of the unknown message caller from a voice mail subscriber receiving a speaker label from a voice mail subscriber. However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Walsh et al who teach in figure 2 at col. 3, lines 27 to col. 4, line 42, the subscriber

Art Unit: 2654

can tag the voice message of the caller using commands such as the "tag" command that marks the speech recognition template associated with the tagged message and saves the template. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to incorporate into Epstein the tag command as taught by Walsh et al because the system would treat any new message from the same caller in a special manner where the retrieving party could identify particular callers and provide special handling for subsequent calls from those particular callers.

As per claim 3, Epstein et al teach wherein he speaker label provided by the voice mail subscriber is used to create a storage folder for the specific speaker of the voice mail (col. 8, lines 7-21).

As per claim 4, wherein the speaker models are created from one or more voice mail messages left by the same caller (col. 7, lines 17-21, the previously speaker models are created from the voice mail messages, figure 3a, his voice process data 120).

As per claims 5, Epstein et al teach wherein the speaker models are created using acoustic features extracted from the voice mail (his voice process data 120 in figure 3a, col. 8, lines 1-14).

As per claim 9, Epstein et al teach wherein the step of determining the identity of the caller...using automatic number-identification-to-assist-in-determining-the-caller's identity (col. 8, lines 8-14).

As per claim 10, Epstein et al teach using speech recognition techniques to extract caller identity ...(Col. 7, lines 16-23).

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2654

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry) Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA.,Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick N. Edouard whose telephone number is (703) 308-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 07:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil, can be reached on (703) 305-9645.

The facsimile phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-9508. Alternatively, facsimile messages may be sent directly to (703) 305-9644 where they will be stored in the examiner's voice mailbox (telling the examiner that a fax was received) and be automatically printed (i.e. - no delay by the examiner).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patrick N. Edouard

October 13, 2004

PATRICK N. EDOUARD PRIMARY EXAMINED